Dynamical Systems and Space Mission Design

Jerrold Marsden, Wang Koon and Martin Lo

Wang Sang Koon Control and Dynamical Systems, Caltech

koon@cds.caltech.edu

Halo Orbit and Its Computation

- ▶ From now on, we will focus on 3D CR3BP.
- We will put more emphasis on numerical computations, especially issues concerning halo orbit missions, such as Genesis Discovery Mission
- \blacktriangleright Outline of Lecture 5A and 5B:
 - Importance of halo orbits.
 - Finding periodic solutions of the linearized equations.
 - Highlights on 3rd order approximation of a halo orbit.
 - Using a textbook example to illustrate Lindstedt-Poincaré method.
 - Use L.P. method to find a 3rd order approximation of a halo orbit.
 - Finding a halo orbit numerically via differential correction.
 - Orbit structure near L_1 and L_2

Importance of Halo Orbits: Genesis Discovery Mission

► Genesis spacecraft will

- collect solar wind from a L_1 halo orbit for 2 years,
- return those samples to Earth in 2003 for analysis.

▶ Will contribute to understanding of origin of Solar system.



Important of Halo Orbits: Genesis Discovery Mission

► A L_1 halo orbit (1.5 million km from Earth) provides uninterrupted access to solar wind beyond Earth's magnetoshphere.



Importance of Halo Orbits: ISEE-3 Mission

- Since halo orbit is ideal for studying solar effects on Earth, NASA has had and will continue to have great interest in these missions.
- ▶ The first halo orbit mission, ISEE-3, was launched in 1978.
- ▶ ISEE-3 spacecraft monitored solar wind and other solar-induced phenomena, such as solar radio bursts and solar flares, about a hour prior to disturbance of space environment near Earth.



Importance of Halo Orbits: Terrestial Planet Finder

- ▶ JPL has begun studies of a TPF misson at L_2 involving 4 free flying **optical elements** and a **combiner spacecraft**.
- ► Interferometry: achieve high resolution by distributing small optical elements along a lengthy baseline or pattern.
- Look into using a L₂ halo orbit and its nearby quasi-halo orbits for formation flight.



Figure 1. Terrestrial Planet Finder

Importance of Halo Orbits: Terrestial Planet Finder

- ▶ The L_2 option offer several advantages:
 - Additional spacecraft can be launched into formation later.
 - The L_2 offers a larger payload capacity.
 - Communications are more efficient at L_2 .
 - Observations and mission operations are simpler at L_2 .



Importance of Halo Orbits: 3D Dynamical Channels

- In 3D dynamical channels theory, invariant manifolds of a solid torus of quasi-halo orbits could play similar role as invariant manifold tubes of a Lyapunov orbit.
- ▶ Halo, quasi-halos and their invariant manifolds could be key in
 - understanding material transport throughout Solar system,
 - constructing 3D orbits with desired characteristics.



3D Equations of Motion

► Recall equations of CR3BP:

$$\ddot{X} - 2\dot{Y} = \Omega_X \qquad \ddot{Y} + 2\dot{X} = \Omega_Y \qquad \ddot{Z} = \Omega_Z$$

where $\Omega = (X^2 + Y^2)/2 + (1 - \mu)d_1^{-1} + \mu d_2^{-1}$.



3D Equations of Motion

Equations for satellite moving in vicinity of L_1 can be obtained by translating the origin to the location of L_1 :

$$x=(X-1+\mu+\gamma)/\gamma, \qquad y=Y/\gamma, \qquad z=Z/\gamma,$$

where $\gamma = d(m_2, L_1)$

- ▶ In new coordinate system, variables x, y, z are scale so that the distance between L_1 and small primary is 1.
- New independent variable is introduced such that $s = \gamma^{3/2} t$.



3D Equations of Motion

 \triangleright CR3BP equations can be developed using Legendre polynomial P_n

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{y} - (1 + 2c_2)x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\sum_{n\geq 3}c_n\rho^n P_n(\frac{x}{\rho})$$
$$\ddot{y} + 2\dot{x} + (c_2 - 1)y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\sum_{n\geq 3}c_n\rho^n P_n(\frac{x}{\rho})$$
$$\ddot{z} + c_2z = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\sum_{n\geq 3}c_n\rho^n P_n(\frac{x}{\rho})$$

where $\rho = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, and $c_n = \gamma^{-3} (\mu + (-1)^n (1 - \mu) (\frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma})^{n+1}).$

• Useful if successive approximation solution procedure is carried to high order via algebraic manipulation software programs.

Analytic and Numerical Methods: Overview

- Lack of general solution motivated researchers to develop semi-analytical method.
- ▶ ISEE-3 halo was designed in this way. See Farquhar and Kamel [1973], and Richardson [1980].
- Linear analysis suggested existence of periodic (and quasi-periodic) orbits near L_1 .
- ► **3rd order** approximation, using **Lindstedt-Poincaré** method, provided further insight about these orbits.
- ► **Differential corrector** produced the desired orbit using 3rd order solution as **initial guess**.

Periodic Solutions of Linearized Equations

▶ Periodic nature of solution can be seen in linearized equations:

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{y} - (1 + 2c_2)x = 0$$

 $\ddot{y} + 2\dot{x} + (c_2 - 1)y = 0$
 $\ddot{z} + c_2 z = 0$

The z-axis solution is simple harmonic, does not depend on x or y.
Motion in xy-plane is coupled, has (±α, ±iλ) as eigenvalues.
General solutions are unbounded, but there is a periodic solution.



Periodic Solutions of Linearized Equations

▶ Linearized equations has a bounded solution (Lissajous orbit)

$$x = -A_x \cos(\lambda t + \phi)$$

$$y = kA_x \sin(\lambda t + \phi)$$

$$z = A_z \sin(\nu t + \psi)$$

with $k = (\lambda^2 + 1 + 2c_2)/2\lambda$. ($\lambda = 2.086, \nu = 2.015, k = 3.229$.)

Amplitudes, A_x and A_z , of in-plane and out-of-plane motion characterize the size of orbit.



Periodic Solutions of Linearized Equations

- ▶ If frequencies are equal $(\lambda = \nu)$, **halo** orbit is produced.
- ▶ But $\lambda = \nu$ only when amplitudes A_x and A_z are large enough that **nonlinear** contributions become significant.
- For ISEE3 halo, $A_z = 110,000$ km, $A_x = 206,000$ km and $A_y = kA_x = 665,000$ km.



Halo Orbits in 3rd Order Approximation

- ► Halo orbit is obtained only when amplitudes A_x and A_z are large enough that **nonlinear** contributions make $\lambda = \nu$.
- Lindstedt-Poincaré procedure has been used to find periodic solution for a 3rd order approximation of PCR3BP system.

$$\ddot{x} - 2\dot{y} - (1 + 2c_2)x = \frac{3}{2}c_3(2x^2 - y^2 - z^2) + 2c_4x(2x^2 - 3y^2 - 3z^2) + o(4),$$

$$\ddot{y} + 2\dot{x} + (c_2 - 1)y = -3c_3xy - \frac{3}{2}c_4y(4x^2 - y^2 - z^2) + o(4),$$

$$\ddot{z} + c_2z = -3c_3xz - \frac{3}{2}c_4z(4x^2 - y^2 - z^2) + o(4).$$

Notice that for periodic solution, x, y, z are $o(A_z)$ with $A_z << 1$ in normalized unit.

Halo Orbits in 3rd Order Approximation

- ► Lindstedt-Poincaré method:
 - It is a successive approximation procedure.
 - Periodic solution of linearized equation (with $\lambda = \nu$) will form the first approximation.
 - Richardson used this method to find the 3rd order solution.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a_{21}A_x^2 + a_{22}A_z^2 - A_x \cos \tau_1 \\ &+ (a_{23}A_x^2 - a_{24}A_z^2) \cos 2\tau_1 + (a_{31}A_x^3 - a_{32}A_xA_z^2) \cos 3\tau_1, \\ y &= kA_x \sin \tau_1 \\ &+ (b_{21}A_x^2 - b_{22}A_z^2) \sin 2\tau_1 + (b_{31}A_x^3 - b_{32}A_xA_z^2) \sin 3\tau_1, \\ z &= \delta_m A_z \cos \tau_1 \\ &+ \delta_m d_{21}A_x A_z (\cos 2\tau_1 - 3) + \delta_m (d_{32}A_zA_x^2 - d_{31}A_z^3) \cos 3\tau_1. \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_1 = \lambda \tau + \phi$ and $\delta_m = 2 - m, m = 1, 3.$

• Details will be given later. Here, we will provide some highlights.

Halo Orbit Amplitude Constraint Relationship

▶ For halo orbits, we have amplitude constraint relationship

$$l_1 A_x^2 + l_2 A_z^2 + \Delta = 0.$$

• For halo orbits about L_1 in Sun-Earth system, $l_1 = -1.59650314, l_2 = 1.740900800$ and $\Delta = 0.29221444425$.

• Halo orbit can be characterized completely by A_z . ISEE-3 halo orbit had $A_z = 110,000$ km.



Halo Orbit Amplitude Constraint Relationship

 \blacktriangleright For halo orbits, we have amplitude constraint relationship

$$l_1 A_x^2 + l_2 A_z^2 + \Delta = 0.$$

• Minimim value for A_x to have a halo orbit $(A_z > 0)$ is

 $\sqrt{|\Delta/l_1|},$

which is about 200,000 km (14% of normalized distance).



Halo Orbit Phase-angle Relationship

▶ Bifurcation manifests through phase-angle relationship

$$\psi - \phi = m\pi/2, \qquad m = 1, 3.$$

• 2 solution branches are obtained according to whether m = 1 or m = 3.



Halo Orbit Phase-angle Relationship

▶ Bifurcation manifests through phase-angle relationship:

- For $m = 1, A_z > 0$. Northern halo.
- For m = 3, $A_z < 0$. Southern halo.

• Northern & southern halos are mirror images across xy-plane.



- ► To illustrate L.P. method, let us study Duffing equation:
 - First non-linear approximation of pendulum equation (with $\lambda = 1$)

$$\ddot{q} + q + \epsilon q^3 = 0.$$

• For $\epsilon = 0$, it has a periodic solution

$$q = a\cos t$$

if we assume the initial condition $q(0) = a, \dot{q}(0) = 0$.

• For $\epsilon \neq 0$, suppose we would like to look for a periodic solution of the form

$$q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n q_n(t) = q_0(t) + \epsilon q_1(t) + \epsilon^2 q_2(t) + \cdots$$

▶ Finding a periodic solution for Duffing equation:

• By substituting and equating terms having same power of ϵ , we have a system of successive differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}_0 + q_0 &= 0, \\ \ddot{q}_1 + q_1 &= -q_0^3, \\ \ddot{q}_2 + q_2 &= -3q_0^2 q_1, \end{aligned}$$

and etc.

Then q₀ = acos t, for q₀(0) = a, q(0) = 0.
Since

$$\ddot{q}_1 + q_1 = -q_0^3 = -a^3 \cos^3 t = -\frac{1}{4}a^3(\cos 3t + 3\cos t),$$

the solution has a **secular** term

$$q_1 = -\frac{3}{8}a^3 t \sin t + \frac{1}{32}a^3(\cos 3t - \cos t).$$

- ▶ Due to presence of **secure** terms, naive method such as expansions of solution in a power series of ϵ would not work.
- ► To avoid **secure** terms, Lindstedt-Poincaré method
 - Notices that **non-linearity** alters **frequency** λ (corr. to linearized system) to $\lambda \omega(\epsilon)$ ($\lambda = 1$ in our case).
 - Introduce a new independent variable $\tau = \omega(\epsilon)t$:

$$t = \tau \omega^{-1} = \tau (1 + \epsilon \omega_1 + \epsilon^2 \omega_2 + \cdots).$$

• Expand the periodic solution in a power series of ϵ :

$$q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n q_n(\tau) = q_0(\tau) + \epsilon q_1(\tau) + \epsilon^2 q_2(\tau) + \cdots$$

• Rewrites Duffing equation using τ as independent variable:

$$q'' + (1 + \epsilon \omega_1 + \epsilon^2 \omega_2 + \cdots)^2 (q + \epsilon q^3) = 0.$$

By substituing q (in power series expansion) into Duffing equation (in new independent variable τ) and equating terms with same power of ε, we obtain equations for successive approximations:

$$q_0'' + q_0 = 0,$$

$$q_1'' + q_1 = -q_0^3 - 2\omega_1 q_0,$$

$$q_2'' + q_2 = -3q_0^2 q_1 - 2\omega_1 (q_1 + q_0^3) + (\omega_1^2 + 2\omega_2) q_0,$$

and etc.

- ▶ Potential **secular** terms can be gotten rid of by imposing suitable values on ω_n .
 - The general solution of 1st equation can be written as

$$q_0 = a\cos(\tau + \tau_0).$$

where a and τ_0 are integration constants.

- ▶ Potential **secular** terms can be gotten rid of by imposing suitable values on ω_n .
 - By substituting $q_0 = a\cos(\tau + \tau_0)$ into 2nd equation, we get

$$q_1'' + q_1 = -a^3 \cos^3(\tau + \tau_0) - 2\omega_1 a \cos(\tau + \tau_0) = -\frac{1}{4}a^3 \cos^3(\tau + \tau_0) - (\frac{3}{4}a^2 + 2\omega_1)a\cos(\tau + \tau_0).$$

- In previous naive method, we had $\omega_1 \equiv 0$ and a **secular** term caused by $\cos t$ term.
- Now if we set ω₁ = -3a²/8, we can get rid of cos(τ + τ₀) term and the ensuing secular term.
 Then

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{32}a^3\cos 3(\tau + \tau_0).$$

- ▶ Potential **secular** terms can be gotten rid of by imposing suitable values on ω_n .
 - Similarly, by substituting $q_1 = \frac{1}{32}a^3 \cos 3(\tau + \tau_0)$ into 3rd equation, we get

$$q_2'' + q_2 = \left(\frac{51}{128}a^4 - 2\omega_2\right)a\cos(\tau + \tau_0) + (\text{terms not giving secular terms})$$

• Setting $\omega_2 = 51a^4/256$,

we obtain q_2 free of **secular** terms, and so on.

▶ Therefore, to 1st order of ϵ , we have **periodic** solution

$$q = a\cos(\tau + \tau_0) + \frac{1}{32}\epsilon\cos 3(\tau + \tau_0) + o(\epsilon^2) = a\cos(\omega t + \tau_0) + \frac{1}{32}\epsilon\cos 3(\omega t + \tau_0) + o(\epsilon^2).$$

► Notice that

$$\omega = (1 + \epsilon \omega_1 + \epsilon^2 \omega_2 + \cdots)^{-1}$$

= $\{1 - \epsilon \omega_1 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 (2\omega_2 - \omega_1^2) + \cdots \}$
= $(1 - \frac{3}{8} \epsilon a^2 - \frac{15}{256} \epsilon^2 a^4 + o(\epsilon^3).$

Lindstedt method consists in successive adjustments of frequencies.

Halo Orbit and Its Computation

► We have covered

- Importance of halo orbits.
- Finding periodic solutions of the linearized equations.
- Highlights on 3rd order approximation of a halo orbit.
- Using a textbook example to illustrate Lindstedt-Poincaré method.
- \blacktriangleright In Lecture 5B, we will cover
 - Use L.P. method to find a 3rd order approximation of a halo orbit.
 - Finding a halo orbit numerically via differential correction.
 - Orbit structure near L_1 and L_2